

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK, OFFICE

#5/ Elec 10-8-2 Bloom

In re Application of:

Applicant: Richard A. Craig, and Anthony J.

Peurrung.

S. N.: 09/771,064

Filed: 01/26/2001

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR THE

DETECTION OF HYDROGENOUS

MATERIALS.

Art Unit: 3641

Examiner: Rick Palabrica

Paper No: NA

Confirmation No: 2684

Our Ref. No: E-1825 CIP

Date: 9/19/2002

Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

RESTRICTION/ELECTION

RECEIVED
OCT 0 3 2002

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed 08/27/2002, the applicant submits the following remarks in reference to the Examiner's Notice of Restriction/Election.

Remarks

- 1. The Examiner has required a restriction to one of the following inventions per 35 U.S.C. §121:
 - I) Claims 1-15, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 376, subclass 153, or
 - II) Claims 16-24, drawn to a **process**, classified in class 376, subclass 159.

The Applicant acknowledges the restriction requirement and elects without traverse the Group I claims 1-15, drawn to an **apparatus**, classified in class 376, subclass 153. Accordingly, the Applicant respectively requests cancellation of the group II process claims (16-24) to begin examination of the elected claims on the merits. The Applicant retains the right under 35 U.S.C.

§121 to file a future divisional application for the Group II process claims restricted in the current action.

2. The Examiner has also required an election under 35 U.S.C. §121 of a single species of the number and type of discriminator setting for purposes of examination.

Per 37 CFR 1.141(a), the Applicant understands that because the generic Group I claim (currently claim 1) has not yet been held to be unpatentable, all Group I claims (1-15) remain in the application pending review on the merits. In view of the Examiner's requirement, the Applicant hereby elects the discriminator species of claim 3, i.e., with at least one pulse-height discriminator setting.

- 3. The Examiner has also required an election under 35 U.S.C. §121 of one of the disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. In response, the Applicant elects the species of claim 7, i.e., wherein the neutron sensor comprises a coded-array aperture.
- 4. The Examiner has further required an election under 35 U.S.C. §121 to a single species of the <u>neutron source</u> for purposes of examination, due to the wide range of neutron sources disclosed and claimed as being suitable. In response, the Applicant elects the species of claim 8, i.e., wherein said neutron source is selected from the group consisting of a fission source, an (alpha, n) source, a (gamma, n) source, and combinations thereof
- 5. The Examiner has further required an election under 35 U.S.C. §121 to a single species of the <u>neutron sensor</u> for purposes of examination, due to the wide range of neutron sensors disclosed and claimed as being suitable. In response, the Applicant elects the species of claim 11, i.e., wherein said neutron sensor comprises a material selected from the group consisting of ³He, ¹⁰B, ⁶Li, and combinations thereof.
- 6. The Examiner has further required an election under 35 U.S.C. §121 to a single species of neutron shield material for purposes of examination, due to the wide range of neutron sensors disclosed and claimed as being suitable. The Applicant elects the species of claim 13, i.e., wherein said neutron shield comprises a material selected from the group consisting of ¹⁰B, ⁶Li, and combinations thereof.

- 7. As the Group I invention claims have been elected in this action, no further action is required under item 7.
- 8. Consonant with the current requirement, the Applicant has elected species as required by the Examiner. The Applicant respectfully submits that no changes in inventorship have occurred as a consequence of the current restriction or election requirements, in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b).

CLOSURE

Applicant has made an earnest attempt to respond in a proper manner to the Examiner's requested actions in this case. Should the Examiner identify any further requirements, he is invited to contact the undersigned for resolution thereof. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely movement toward Allowance be made in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Sephenollay

Stephen R. May Reg. No. 29,255

Stephen R. May (K1-53) Intellectual Property Services Battelle Memorial Institute Pacific Northwest Laboratories P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 (509) 375-2387

PTO/SB/17 (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL		Complete if Known		
PECE I KANS	DIVILLIAL	Application Number	09/771,064	
Paunt fees are subject to annual revision.		Filing Date	01/26/2001	
		First Named Inventor	Craig, et al.	
		Examiner Name	Rick Palabrica	
		Group Art Unit	3641	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 0.00	Attorney Docket No.	E-1825 CIP	

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)			
1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated foca and exadit any overrowments to	3. ADDITIONAL FEES			
Denosit Denosit	Large Small			
Account Number 02-1275	Entity Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	Fee Paid		
Deposit Battelle Memorial Institute	Code (\$) Code (\$)	1		
Account Name	105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath			
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet			
Applicant claims small entity status.	139 130 139 130 Non-English specification			
See 37 CFR 1.27 2. Payment Enclosed:	147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination			
Check C Credit card Money Cothor	112 920* 112 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action			
FEE CALCULATION	113 1,840* 113 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action			
1. BASIC FILING FEE	115 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month			
Large Entity Small Entity	116 400 216 200 Extension for reply within second month			
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	117 920 217 460 Extension for reply within third month			
Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid 101 740 201 370 Utility filing fee	118 1,440 218 720 Extension for reply within fourth month			
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	128 1,960 228 980 Extension for reply within fifth month			
107 510 207 255 Plant filing fee	119 320 219 160 Notice of Appeal			
108 740 208 370 Reissue filing fee	120 320 220 160 Filing a brief in support of an appeal			
114 160 214 80 Provisional filing fee	121 280 221 140 Request for oral hearing	البيح		
2117-2711 (1) [(2) 0.00	138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding			
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00	140 110 240 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable			
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from	141 1,280 241 640 Petition to revive - unintentional	<u>≥</u> ਜ਼		
Ext <u>ra Claims below</u> Fee Paid	142 1,280 242 640 Utility issue fee (or reissue)			
Total Claims20** = X = Independent 2** V = V	143 460 243 230 Design issue fee	뜻		
Claims	144 620 244 310 Plant issue fee	U		
Multiple Dependent =	122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner	Ш		
Laura Patitus B. II F. III	123 50 123 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)			
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	126 180 126 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt			
Code (\$) Code (\$) 103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)			
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	146 740 246 370 Filing a submission after final rejection			
104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	(37 CFR § 1.129(a))			
109 84 209 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	149 740 249 370 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))			
110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	179 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)			
and over original patent	169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	Other fee (specify)			
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0	.00		

			Complete (/	if applicable)
Name (Print/Type) Steph	en R. May	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 29,255	Telephone	509 375-2387
Signature	eshenkellan		Date	Sept 19, 200.

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

3641



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:			
Applicant: Richard A. Craig, and Anthony J. Peurrung.	Art Unit: 3641		
,)	Examiner: Rick Palabrica		
S. N.: 09/771,064) Paper No: NA		
Filed: 01/26/2001) Confirmation No: 2684		
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR THE	Our Ref. No: E-1825 CIP		
DETECTION OF HYDROGENOUS MATERIALS) Date: 9/20/2002		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

RECEIVED

OCT 03 2002

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached:

[X] Notice of Restriction Response to Office Action mailed 8/27/2002

[X] Fee Sheet (2 ea.)

BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

[X] Return Receipt Postcard

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as:

[X] First Class Mail
[] Express Mail - Label

in an envelope addressed to Box NONFEE Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on the date set forth below.

Signature

Date Deposited

Rebecca B. Rupp K1-53 Intellectual Property Services Battelle Memorial Institute Pacific Northwest Laboratories P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 (509) 375-2536